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#### Abstract

In the paper free vibrations of a viscous-elastic heterogeneous medium-contacting retaining wall consisting of two cylindrical plates were studied. Using the Hamilton-Ostrogradsky variational principle for finding vibrations frequencies of a retaining wall, a frequency equation was structured, its roots were found and the influences of physical and geometrical parameters characterizing the system were studied. Account of the joint work of two cylindrical panels on the contact line are accepted as contact conditions.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The work [1] was devoted to one of the dynamical characteristics, the frequency of natural vibrations of a vertical support consisting of three orthotropic soil-filled cylindrical panels and reinforced with discretely distributed longitudinal rods. Using the HamiltonOstrogradsky variational principle for finding vibrations frequency of a vertical support a frequency equation was structured, its roots were found and influence of physicalgeometrical parameters characterizing the system were studied. Account of joint work of three cylindrical panels on the contact line was accepted as contact conditions.

Allowing for viscosity and heterogeneity of soil, features of orthotropic property of plate, free vibrations of retaining wall consisting of three cylindrical plates were studied in [2], analytic expressions to calculate the displacements of the points of cylindrical plates were obtained, characteristically curves were structured. Account of heterogeneity of soil was performed by accepting its rigidity coefficients as a coordinate function. It was considered that the Poisson ratio is constant.

In the papers [3, 4] based on the OstrogradskiyHamilton principle, we study natural vibrations of retaining walls composed of two orthotropic cylindrical shells under dynamical interaction with visco-elastic soil, find resonance frequencies of the wall under consideration and construct typical dependence curves.

The work [5] was devoted to the study of one of the dynamical strength characteristics, the frequency of natural vibrations of a retaining wall consisting of two soil-contacting orthotropic cylindrical shells stiffened with discretely distributed annular rods. Using the Hamilton-Ostrogradsky variational principle for finding vibrations frequencies of retaining walls, a frequency equation was structured, its roots were found and influence of physical and geometrical parameters characterizing the system was studied. Account of joint work of two cylindrical shells on contact line was accepted as contact conditions.

## 2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

To study free vibrations of a viscous-elastic mediumcontacting retaining wall consisting of two orthotropic plates the Hamilton-Ostrogradsky variational principle was used. According to this principle, the total energy of the construction under investigation takes a stationary value for real stress-strain state. Since the construction under investigation consists of cylindrical shells, viscouselastic heterogeneous soil, we write expressions for potential and kinetic energies of each element (Figure 1).

Potential energies of cylindrical shells:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{i}=\frac{h_{i} R_{i}}{2} \iint_{s_{i}}\left\{b_{11 i}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2}-2\left(b_{11 i}+b_{12 i}\right) \times\right. \\
& \times \frac{\mathrm{w}_{i}}{R_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{w_{i}^{2}}{R_{i}^{2}}\left(b_{11 i}+2 b_{12 i}+b_{22 i}\right)+\frac{b_{22 i}}{R_{i}^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \vartheta_{i}}{\partial \theta_{i}}\right)^{2}-  \tag{1}\\
& -2\left(b_{12 i}+b_{22 i}\right) \frac{w_{i}}{R_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial \vartheta_{i}}{\partial \theta_{i}}+2 b_{12 i} \frac{1}{R_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \vartheta_{i}}{\partial \theta_{i}}+ \\
& \left.+b_{66 i} \frac{1}{R_{i}^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial \theta_{i}}\right)^{2}+b_{66 i}\left(\frac{\partial \vartheta_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2}+b_{66 i} \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \vartheta_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial \theta_{i}}\right\} d x_{i} d \theta_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

Kinetic energies of cylindrical shells:
$K_{i}=\frac{\rho_{i} h_{i}}{2 R_{i}\left(1-v_{i}^{2}\right)} \iint_{s_{i}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \vartheta_{i}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x_{i} d \theta_{i}$


Figure 1. Scheme of the viscous-elastic heterogenous retaining wall composed of the joint of cylindrical shells

Influence of soil to cylindrical shells are replaced by the external forces $q_{x i}, q_{y i}, q_{z i}$. The work done by these forces in displacements is determined by expressions (3).
$A_{i}=-R \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{3 \pi / 4}\left(q_{x i} u_{i}+q_{y i} \vartheta_{i}+q_{z i} w_{i}\right) d x d \theta$
where, $i=1$ corresponds to the first cylindrical plate forming the support, $i=2$ corresponds to the second cylindrical plate (graph 2.1.1); $u_{i}, \vartheta_{i}, w_{i}$ are displacements of the points of cylindrical plates; $R_{i}, h_{i}$ are curvature radii of thickness of cylindrical plates; $b_{11 i}, b_{22 i}, b_{12 i}, b_{66 i}$ are elasticity module of orthotropic cylindrical plates; $E_{1 i}, E_{2 i}$ are elasticity module of orthotropic cylindrical plates in the direction of coordinate axis $x_{i}$ and $\theta_{i} ; v_{1 i}, v_{2 i}$ are Poisson ratios; $q_{x i}, q_{y i}, q_{z i}$ are the components of forces acting on
cylindrical plates as viewed from soil; $t$ is time; $s_{i}$ are surfaces of cylindrical plates. The elasticity module of orthotropic cylindrical plates are expressed by the constants $b_{11 i}, b_{22 i}, b_{12 i}, b_{66 i} E_{1 i}, E_{2 i}, v_{1 i}, v_{2 i}$ as follows:
$b_{11 i}=\frac{E_{1 i}}{1-v_{1 i} v_{2 i}}$
$b_{22 i}=\frac{E_{2 i}}{1-v_{1 i} v_{2 i}}$
$b_{12 i}=\frac{v_{2 i} E_{1 i}}{1-v_{1 i} v_{2 i}}=\frac{v_{1 i} E_{2 i}}{1-v_{1 i} v_{2 i}}$
For taking into account the heterogeneity of soil, we will consider that the rigidity coefficients $p_{i}, k_{s i}$ at compression and shift is the function of $x$ coordinate alternating along the generatrix of cylindrical plates. As a result, for the pressure force components $q_{x i}, q_{y i}, q_{z i}$ acting on cylindrical plates as viewed from soil we can write:
$q_{x i}=q_{y i}=0$
$q_{z 1}=p_{1} w_{1}+k_{s 1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \Gamma(t-\tau) w_{1}(\tau) d \tau$
$q_{z 2}=p_{2} w_{2}+k_{s 2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w_{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \Gamma(t-\tau) w_{2}(\tau) d \tau$
In expression (4) we will consider that the rigidity coefficients $p_{i}, k_{s_{i}}$ of soil at compression and shift change according to the following rule:
$p_{1}(x)=p_{10}\left(1+\alpha \frac{x}{a}\right)$
$p_{2}(x)=p_{20}\left(1+\beta \frac{x}{a}\right)$
$k_{s 1}(x)=k_{s 10}(x)\left(1+\gamma \frac{x}{a}\right)$
$k_{s 2}(x)=k_{s 20}\left(1+\mu \frac{x}{a}\right)$
where, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu \in[0,1]$ and $p_{i 0}, k_{s i 0}$ are rigidity coefficients of soil at compression and shift, $\Gamma(t)=A e^{-\psi t}$ is a relaxation core, $A, \psi$ are empirical constants.

As a result, the total energy of the system is in the form:
$\Pi=\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(G_{i}+K_{i}+A_{i}\right)$
To the expression (6), we add contact and boundary conditions. We assume that cylindrical shells are elastically joined with each other, i.e. in the contact
$\left.w_{1}(x)\right|_{\theta_{1}=\tilde{\theta}_{1}}=\left.\vartheta_{2}(x)\right|_{\theta_{2}=0} ;\left.\vartheta_{1}(x)\right|_{\theta_{1}=\tilde{\theta}_{1}}=\left.w_{2}(x)\right|_{\theta_{2}=0}$
the conditions
$\left.u_{1}(x)\right|_{\theta_{1}=\tilde{\theta}_{1}}=\left.u_{2}(x)\right|_{\theta_{2}=0} ;\left.\frac{\partial w_{1}(x)}{\partial x}\right|_{\theta_{1}=\tilde{\theta_{1}}}=\left.\frac{\partial \vartheta_{2}(x)}{\partial x}\right|_{\theta_{2}=0}$
are satisfied.

It is accepted that cylindrical shells are highly supported on the ideal diaphragms along the lines $x=0$ and $x=a$ that in this case the boundary conditions are expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{i}=0, w_{i}=0  \tag{8}\\
& T_{1}=0, M_{1}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where, $T_{1}, M_{1}$ are force and moment acting on the crosssection of the cylindrical shell (Figure 1,c).

Using the Ostrogradsky-Hamilton stationarity condition, we can obtain a frequency equation for determining natural vibrations frequency of a retaining wall formed by the joint of cylindrical shells:
$\delta W=0$
where, $W=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}^{\prime}} \Pi d t$ is Hamilton's action. It we realize variation operation in the equality $\delta W=0$ and take into account that the variations $\delta u_{1}, \delta \vartheta_{1}, \delta w_{1}$ are not arbitrary and dependent, for finding natural frequencies of retaining walls composed of the joint of cylindrical shells dynamically contacting with soil, we get a frequency equation. So, the solution of a problem of vibrations of retaining walls formed from the joint of cylindrical shells dynamically contacting with soil is reduced to joint integration of total energy (6) of the construction within contact (7) and boundary conditions (8).

## 3. PROBLEM SOLUTION

We look for the displacements of the points of the cylindrical plate in the following form:
$u_{i}=u_{0 i} \cos \chi \xi_{i}\left(\cos n \theta_{i}+\sin n \theta_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{1} t_{1}$
$\vartheta_{i}=\vartheta_{0 i} \sin \chi \xi_{i}\left(\cos n \theta_{i}+\sin n \theta_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{1} t_{1}$
$w_{i}=w_{0 i} \sin \chi \xi_{i}\left(\cos n \theta_{i}+\sin n \theta_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{1} t_{1}$
where, $u_{0 i} \vartheta_{0 i}, w_{0 i}$ are unknown constants, $\xi_{i}=\frac{x_{i}}{a}$, $t_{1}=\omega_{01} t, \chi, n$ are wave numbers of the cylindrical plate along the generatrix and in circular direction, $0 \leq \theta_{1} \leq \tilde{\theta}_{1} . \quad 0 \leq \theta_{2} \leq \tilde{\theta}_{2}$
$\omega_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{\left(1-v_{11}^{2}\right) \rho_{1} R_{1}^{2} \omega^{2}}{E_{11}}}, \omega_{01}=\sqrt{\frac{E_{11}}{\left(1-v_{11}^{2}\right) \rho_{1} R_{1}^{2}}}$
Using the expressions (3), (4) and (10), we calculate the influence of soil on cylindric shells as viewed from soil as the work $A_{i}$ done by external forces $q_{x i}, q_{y i}, q_{z i}$ in displacements of the points of the cylindrical shell:
$A_{i}=w_{0 i}^{2} a\left(p_{i}+\frac{\chi^{2}}{a^{2}} k_{s i}+\frac{n^{2}}{R^{2}} k_{s i}+A \frac{\psi e^{-\psi t} \sin \omega t+\psi \sin ^{2} \omega t}{\psi^{2}+\omega^{2}}\right) \times$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i}-\frac{\cos 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{i}}{2 n}+\frac{1}{2 n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sin 2 \chi}{4 \chi}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using contact conditions (7) and solution (10), we can express the constants $u_{02}, \vartheta_{02}, w_{02}$ by the constants $u_{01}, \vartheta_{01}, w_{01}:$
$u_{02}=u_{01}\left(\cos n \tilde{\theta}_{1}+\sin n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right)$
$\vartheta_{02}=w_{01}\left(\cos n \tilde{\theta}_{1}+\sin n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right)$
$w_{02}=\vartheta_{01}\left(\cos n \tilde{\theta}_{1}+\sin n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right)$
Since the retaining wall consists of two cylindrical plates, the total energy of the system will be
$\Pi=\sum_{i=1}^{2} G_{i}$
If we substitute the solutions (10) in (1) and (2), and implement the integration operation, for the total energy of the $i$ th plate we get the following expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{1}=\left\{\left[\frac{h_{1} R_{1} b_{111} \chi^{2} q_{0} q_{2 i}}{2}+\frac{\rho_{1} h_{1} \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{01}^{2} q_{1}}{2 R_{1}\left(1-v_{1}^{2}\right)}+b_{661} \frac{n^{2}}{R_{1}^{2}} q_{1} q_{31}\right] u_{01}^{2}+\right. \\
& +\frac{b_{221} n^{2} q_{0} q_{31}}{R_{1}^{2}} \vartheta_{01}^{2}+\left[\frac{h_{1} a}{2 R_{1}}\left(b_{111}+2 b_{121}+b_{221}\right) q_{0} q_{21}+\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\rho_{1} h_{1} \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{01}^{2} q_{0}}{2 R_{1}\left(1-v_{1}^{2}\right)}\right] w_{01}^{2}+\left[\frac{2 b_{121}}{a R_{1}^{2}} \chi n q_{0} q_{41}+\frac{b_{661} \not{ }^{n}}{a R_{1}} q_{1} q_{31}\right] u_{01} \vartheta_{01}- \\
& -h_{1} a R_{1}\left(b_{111}+b_{121}\right) q_{0} q_{21} u_{01} w_{01}+ \\
& \left.+\left[-\frac{h_{1} a}{R_{1}}\left(b_{121}+b_{221}\right) n q_{0} q_{41}\right] \vartheta_{01} w_{01}\right\} \times \\
& \times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]+  \tag{14}\\
& +\left\{a q _ { 0 } q _ { 2 1 } \left[p_{10}+\frac{\chi^{2}}{a^{2}} k_{s 10}+\frac{n^{2}}{R_{2}^{2}} k_{s 10}+\left(\beta p_{10}+\delta k_{s 10}\right) \times\right.\right. \\
& \times\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sin 2 \chi}{4 \chi}+\frac{\sin ^{2} \chi}{4 \chi^{2}}\right)\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+a q_{0} q_{21} \frac{A}{\left(\psi^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)^{2}} \times \\
& \times\left(-\psi \omega\left(e^{-\psi t_{1}^{\prime}} \cos \omega t_{1}^{\prime}-e^{-\psi t_{0}} \cos \omega t_{0}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.-\psi^{2}\left(e^{-\psi t_{1}^{\prime}} \sin \omega t_{1}^{\prime}-e^{-\psi t_{0}} \sin \omega t_{0}\right)\right)+a q_{0} q_{21} \frac{A \psi}{\psi^{2}+\omega^{2}} \times \\
& \left.\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]\right\} w_{01}^{2} \\
& G_{2}=\left\{\left[\frac{h_{2} R_{2} b_{112} \chi^{2} q_{0} q_{22}}{2}+\frac{\rho_{2} h_{2} \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{01}^{2} q_{1}}{2 R_{2}\left(1-v_{2}^{2}\right)}+b_{662} \frac{n^{2}}{R_{2}^{2}} q_{1} q_{32}\right] u_{01}^{2}+\right. \\
& +\left[\frac{b_{222} n^{2} q_{0} q_{32}}{R_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\rho_{2} h_{2} \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{01}^{2} q_{0}}{2 R_{2}\left(1-v_{2}^{2}\right)}\right] \vartheta_{01}^{2}+ \\
& +\left[\frac{h_{2} a}{2 R_{2}}\left(b_{112}+2 b_{122}+b_{222}\right) q_{0} q_{22}+\frac{\rho_{2} h_{2} \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{01}^{2} q_{0}}{2 R_{2}\left(1-v_{2}^{2}\right)}\right] w_{01}^{2}+ \\
& +\left[\frac{2 b_{122}}{a R_{2}^{2}} \chi n q_{0} q_{42}+\frac{b_{662} \chi n}{a R_{2}} q_{1} q_{32}\right] u_{01} w_{01}+ \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left.-h_{2} a R_{2}\left(b_{112}+b_{122}\right) q_{0} q_{22} u_{01} \vartheta_{01}-\frac{h_{2} a}{R_{2}}\left(b_{122}+b_{222}\right) n q_{0} q_{42} \vartheta_{01} w_{01}\right\} \times$
$\times\left(1+\sin 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right)+\left\{a q_{0} q_{21}\left[p_{20}+\frac{\chi^{2}}{a^{2}} k_{s 20}+\frac{n^{2}}{R_{2}^{2}} k_{s 20}+\right.\right.$
$\left.+\left(\beta p_{20}+\delta k_{s 20}\right) \frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sin 2 \chi}{4 \chi}+\frac{\sin ^{2} \chi}{4 \chi^{2}}\right]\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+$
$+a q_{0} q_{21} \frac{A}{\left(\psi^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(-\psi \omega\left(e^{-\psi t_{1}^{\prime}} \cos \omega t_{1}^{\prime}-e^{-\psi t_{0}} \cos \omega t_{0}\right)-\right.$
$\left.-\psi^{2}\left(e^{-\psi t_{1}^{\prime}} \sin \omega t_{1}^{\prime}-e^{-\psi t_{0}} \sin \omega t_{0}\right)\right)+a q_{0} q_{22} \frac{A \psi}{\psi^{2}+\omega^{2}} \times$
$\left.\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]\right\}\left(1+\sin 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right) \vartheta_{01}^{2}$
where,
$q_{0}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sin 2 \chi}{4 \chi}$
$q_{1}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sin 2 \chi}{4 \chi}$
$q_{2 i}=\tilde{\theta}_{i}+\frac{1}{2 n}-\frac{\cos 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{i}}{2 n}$
$q_{3 i}=\tilde{\theta}_{i}+\frac{1}{2 n}+\frac{\cos 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{i}}{2 n}$
$q_{4 i}=\frac{\sin 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{i}}{2 n}$
$q_{5 i}=1+\sin \frac{2 R_{i} \tilde{\theta}_{i}}{k_{i}+1}$
$q_{6 i}=1-\sin \frac{2 R_{i} \tilde{\theta}_{i}}{k_{i}+1}$
$q_{7 i}=\cos \frac{2 R_{i} \tilde{\theta}_{i}}{k_{i}+1}$
As can be seen from expressions (14) and (15), the total energy of cylindrical shells forming the retaining walls are second degree polynomials with respect to the constants $u_{01}, \vartheta_{01} w_{01}$. We show them in following form:
$G_{1}=\varphi_{11} u_{01}^{2}+\varphi_{22} \vartheta_{01}^{2}+\varphi_{33} w_{01}^{2}+\varphi_{44} u_{01} \vartheta_{01}+$
$+\varphi_{55} u_{01} w_{01}+\varphi_{66} \vartheta_{01} w_{01}$
where,
$\varphi_{11}=\left(\frac{h_{1} R_{1} b_{111} \chi^{2} q_{0} q_{2 i}}{2}+\frac{\rho_{1} h_{1} \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{01}^{2} q_{1}}{2 R_{1}\left(1-v_{1}^{2}\right)}+b_{661} \frac{n^{2}}{R_{1}^{2}} q_{1} q_{31}\right) \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]$
$\varphi_{22}=\left[\frac{b_{221} n^{2} q_{0} q_{31}}{R_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\rho_{1} h_{1} \omega_{01}^{2} q_{0}}{2 R_{1}\left(1-v_{1}^{2}\right)}\right] \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]$
$\varphi_{33}=\left\{\frac{h_{1} a}{2 R_{1}}\left(b_{111}+2 b_{121}+b_{221}\right) q_{0} q_{21}+\frac{\rho_{1} h_{1} \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{01}^{2} q_{0}}{2 R_{1}\left(1-v_{1}^{2}\right)}\right\} \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]+$
$+a q_{0} q_{21}\left[p_{10}+\frac{\chi^{2}}{a^{2}} k_{s 10}+\frac{n^{2}}{R_{2}^{2}} k_{s 10}+\right.$
$\left.+\left(\beta p_{10}+\delta k_{s 10}\right) \frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sin 2 \chi}{4 \chi}+\frac{\sin ^{2} \chi}{4 \chi^{2}}\right]\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+$
$+a q_{0} q_{21} \frac{A}{\left(\psi^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(-\psi \omega\left(e^{-\psi t_{1}^{\prime}} \cos \omega t_{1}^{\prime}-e^{-\psi t_{0}} \cos \omega t_{0}\right)-\right.$
$\left.-\psi^{2}\left(e^{-\psi t_{1}^{\prime}} \cos \omega t_{1}^{\prime}-e^{-\psi t_{0}} \cos \omega t_{0}\right)\right)+$
$+a q_{0} q_{21} \frac{A \psi}{\psi^{2}+\omega^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]$
$\varphi_{44}=\left[\frac{2 b_{121}}{a R_{1}^{2}} \chi n q_{0} q_{41}+\frac{b_{661} \chi n}{a R_{1}} q_{1} q_{31}\right] \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]$
$\varphi_{55}=-h_{1} a R_{1}\left(b_{111}+b_{121}\right) q_{0} q_{21} \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]$
$\varphi_{66}=-\frac{h_{1} a}{R_{1}}\left(b_{121}+b_{221}\right) n q_{0} q_{41} \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]$
$G_{2}=\psi_{11} u_{01}^{2}+\psi_{22} \vartheta_{01}^{2}+\psi_{33} w_{01}^{2}+\psi_{44} u_{01} \vartheta_{01}+$
$+\psi_{55} u_{01} w_{01}+\psi_{66} \vartheta_{01} w_{01}$
where,
$\psi_{11}=\left[\frac{h_{2} R_{2} b_{112} \chi^{2} q_{0} q_{22}}{2}+\frac{\rho_{2} h_{2} \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{01}^{2} q_{1}}{2 R_{2}\left(1-v_{2}^{2}\right)}+b_{662} \frac{n^{2}}{R_{2}^{2}} q_{1} q_{32}\right] \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]\left(1+\sin 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right)$
$\psi_{22}=\left[\frac{h_{2} a}{2 R_{2}}\left(b_{112}+2 b_{122}+b_{222}\right) q_{0} q_{22}+\frac{\rho_{2} h_{2} \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{01}^{2} q_{0}}{2 R_{2}\left(1-v_{2}^{2}\right)}\right] \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]\left(1+\sin 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right)+$
$+\left\{a q_{0} q_{21}\left[p_{20}+\frac{\chi^{2}}{a^{2}} k_{s 20}+\frac{n^{2}}{R_{2}^{2}} k_{s 20}+\left(\beta p_{10}+\delta k_{s 20}\right) \frac{1}{2}-\right.\right.$
$\left.-\frac{\sin 2 \chi}{4 \chi}+\frac{\sin ^{2} \chi}{4 \chi^{2}}\right]\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+a q_{0} q_{21} \frac{A}{\left(\psi^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)^{2}} \times$
$\times\left(-\psi \omega\left(e^{-\psi t_{1}^{\prime}} \cos \omega t_{1}^{\prime}-e^{-\psi t_{0}} \cos \omega t_{0}\right)-\right.$
$\left.-\psi^{2}\left(e^{-\psi t_{1}^{\prime}} \sin \omega t_{1}^{\prime}-e^{-\psi t_{0}} \sin \omega t_{0}\right)\right)+a q_{0} q_{21} \frac{A \psi}{\psi^{2}+\omega^{2}} \times$
$\left.\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]\right\}\left(1+\sin 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right)$
$\psi_{55}=\left[\frac{2 b_{122}}{a R_{2}^{2}} \chi n q_{0} q_{42}+\frac{b_{662} \chi n}{a R_{2}} q_{1} q_{32}\right] \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]\left(1+\sin 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right)$
$\psi_{44}=-h_{2} a R_{2}\left(b_{112}+b_{122}\right) q_{0} q_{22} \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]\left(1+\sin 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right) ;$
$\psi_{33}=\left[\frac{b_{222} n^{2} q_{0} q_{32}}{R_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\rho_{2} h_{2} \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{01}^{2} q_{0}}{2 R_{2}\left(1-v_{2}^{2}\right)}\right] \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]\left(1+\sin 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right)$
$\psi_{66}=-\frac{h_{2} a}{R_{2}}\left(b_{122}+b_{222}\right) n q_{0} q_{42} \times$
$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sin 2 \omega t_{0}-\sin 2 \omega t_{1}^{\prime}}{4 \omega}\right]\left(1+\sin 2 n \tilde{\theta}_{1}\right)$.
According to expressions (16) and (17) we can write $W=\left(\varphi_{11}+\psi_{11}\right) u_{01}^{2}+\left(\varphi_{22}+\psi_{22}\right) \vartheta_{01}^{2}+$
$+\left(\varphi_{33}+\psi_{33}\right) w_{01}^{2}+\left(\varphi_{44}+\psi_{44}\right) u_{01} \vartheta_{01}+$
$+\left(\varphi_{55}+\psi_{55}\right) u_{01} w_{01}+\left(\varphi_{66}+\psi_{66}\right) \vartheta_{01} w_{01}$
$W=\tilde{\varphi}_{11} u_{01}^{2}+\tilde{\varphi}_{22} \vartheta_{01}^{2}+\tilde{\varphi}_{33} w_{01}^{2}+\tilde{\varphi}_{44} u_{01} \vartheta_{01}+$
$+\tilde{\varphi}_{55} u_{01} w_{01}+\tilde{\varphi}_{66} \vartheta_{01} w_{01}$
where,
$\tilde{\varphi}_{11}=\varphi_{11}+\psi_{11}$
$\tilde{\varphi}_{22}=\varphi_{22}+\psi_{22}$
$\tilde{\varphi}_{33}=\varphi_{33}+\psi_{33}$
$\tilde{\varphi}_{44}=\varphi_{44}+\psi_{44}$
$\tilde{\varphi}_{55}=\varphi_{55}+\psi_{55}$
$\tilde{\varphi}_{66}=\varphi_{66}+\psi_{66}$
Using expression (19), performing variation operation in the equality $\delta W=0$ and taking into account that the variations $\delta u_{01}, \delta \vartheta_{01}, \delta w_{01}$ are arbitrary, independent, we get a system of homogeneous linear equations with respect to the constants $u_{01}, \vartheta_{01}, w_{01}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \tilde{\varphi}_{11} u_{01}+\tilde{\varphi}_{44} \vartheta_{01}+\tilde{\varphi}_{55} w_{01}=0  \tag{20}\\
\tilde{\varphi}_{44} u_{01}+2 \tilde{\varphi}_{22} \vartheta_{01}+\varphi_{66} w_{01}=0 \\
\tilde{\varphi}_{55} u_{01}+\tilde{\varphi}_{66} \vartheta_{01}+2 \varphi_{33} w_{01}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the system (20) is linear homogeneous, the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of its nonzero solution is the equality of its principle determinant to zero.

As a result, for finding natural frequencies of retaining walls formed from joint of cylindrical shells dynamically contacting with soil, we get the following frequency equation:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \tilde{\varphi}_{11} & \tilde{\varphi}_{44} & \tilde{\varphi}_{55}  \tag{21}\\
\tilde{\varphi}_{44} & 2 \tilde{\varphi}_{22} & \tilde{\varphi}_{66} \\
\tilde{\varphi}_{55} & \tilde{\varphi}_{66} & 2 \tilde{\varphi}_{33}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

We write equation (21) as follows:

$$
4 \tilde{\varphi}_{11} \tilde{\varphi}_{22} \tilde{\varphi}_{33}+\tilde{\varphi}_{44} \tilde{\varphi}_{55} \tilde{\varphi}_{66}-\tilde{\varphi}_{22} \tilde{\varphi}_{55}^{2}-\tilde{\varphi}_{33} \tilde{\varphi}_{44}^{2}-\tilde{\varphi}_{11} \tilde{\varphi}_{66}^{2}=0
$$

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

The roots of equation (22) were calculated by the numerical method. For the parameters contained in the problem solution we take the followings:


Figure 2. Dependence of frequency parameter on $\theta_{1}$


Figure 3. Dependence of frequency parameter on the ratio $a / R$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{10}=p_{20}=7 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, k_{s i 0}=11 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& \frac{a}{R_{i}}=3, v_{1 i}=v_{2 i}=0.35, R_{i}=160 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& b_{11 i}=18.3 \mathrm{QPa}, b_{12 i}=2.77 \mathrm{QPa} \\
& b_{22 i}=25.2 \mathrm{QPa}, b_{66 i}=3.5 \mathrm{QPa} \\
& \rho_{i}=1850 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, \chi=1, n=8 \\
& h_{i}=0.45 \mathrm{~mm}, \Psi=0.05, A=0.0897
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 4. Dependence of frequency parameter on homogeneity parameter $\delta$

The results of calculation were given in Figure 2 in the form of dependence of frequency parameter on $\theta_{1}$, in Figure 3 on the ratio of $a / R$, in Figure 4 on homogeneity parameter $\delta$. As can be seen from figure 2 , as the value of the angle $\theta_{1}$ increase, the value of the frequency parameter also increases.

As the length of the shell increases, vice versa the value of the frequency parameter decreases (Figure 3). Furthermore, the value of frequency parameter increases due to enhancement of orthotropic property of the shell material. Broken lines in Figures 2 and 3 correspond to the case of elastic plates, viscous-elastic soil. As can be seen from both figures, account of heterogeneity of the soil material causes to increase the value of frequency parameters of vibrations of the retaining wall compared with the case when the soil material is homogeneous viscous-elastic.

Unlike elastic soil, in the case of viscous-elastic inhomogeneous soil, the value of frequency parameter is a complete complex number. The real part of this complex number is the frequencies of vibrations of the retaining wall, the coefficient of the imaginary part characterizes damping of vibrations with respect to time. Figure 4 shows that as the value of the inhomogeneity parameter $\alpha$ of soil increases, the value of frequency parameter of vibrations of the retaining wall also increases. This is explained by the fact that the rigidity of the soil material increases due to its heterogeneity.
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