International Journal on
"Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering"
(IJTPE)
Published by International Organization of IOTPE

ISSN 2077-3528
IJTPE Journal
www.iotpe.com
ijtpe@iotpe.com

September 2023	Issue 56	Volume 15	Number 3	Pages 149-155

ENHANCING WIND ENERGY FORECASTING THROUGH THE APPLICATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNIQUES: A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY

N. El Abbadi   M.S. El Youbi

LCOCE Laboratory, FS, University of Ibn Tofail, Kenitra, Morocco, elabbadi207@gmail.com, mselyoubi@yahoo.fr

Abstract- The increasing integration of wind energy into power systems has underscored the urgent need for accurate energy production forecasting. This paper presents an in-depth investigation into the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques in predicting wind energy production. Capitalizing on the flexibility and learning capabilities of AI, this study explores the potential to enhance the prediction accuracy of wind energy output and, in turn, contribute to the more efficient integration of wind power into the power grid. Our hypothetical data, derived from representative wind farms, allows for an extensive analysis of various AI techniques. The data set includes key influencing parameters like wind speed, direction, temperature, air pressure, and turbine specifications. Through iterative learning and pattern recognition, these AI models are trained to predict energy production based on the given parameters. The study aims to establish an empirical comparison between these AI techniques, highlighting their respective strengths and weaknesses in the context of wind energy forecasting. Additionally, it seeks to identify the most effective AI models for different wind farm conditions, thereby providing a robust tool for energy production prediction. The ultimate objective of this study is to improve wind energy management and grid reliability. Accurate forecasting can lead to optimal turbine operation, improved maintenance schedules, and efficient power grid integration, facilitating a smoother transition to renewable energy sources. This comprehensive analysis represents a significant stride towards realizing the full potential of AI in renewable energy, laying a strong foundation for future research in this domain. By continually refining and expanding upon these AI techniques, we can aspire to make renewable energy a more predictable, reliable, and integral part of our power systems.

Keywords: Renewable Energy, Wind Turbines, Artificial Intelligence, Predictive Models, Optimization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Renewable energy sources, including wind power, have become central to addressing the world's growing energy demands while mitigating environmental impacts. Wind energy, being clean, abundant, and cost-effective, has been gaining rapid prominence as a vital part of the global energy portfolio. Despite these advantages, the inherent variability and unpredictability of wind conditions present a significant challenge in harnessing wind energy efficiently. Forecasting the output of wind turbines accurately is crucial for various aspects of power system operation, including grid stability, load balancing, power trading, and scheduling maintenance. Inaccurate predictions can result in significant financial losses, underscoring the urgency to develop reliable and precise forecasting tools. To this end, artificial intelligence (AI) techniques present a promising avenue to enhance the prediction accuracy of wind energy output.

AI, with its extensive learning capabilities and flexibility, has revolutionized numerous sectors, and energy is no exception. By integrating AI into wind energy systems, it is possible to develop models that can learn from historical data, identify patterns, and provide accurate energy forecasts. This ability to 'learn' from past data and predict future trends makes AI an ideal candidate for improving the reliability and accuracy of wind energy forecasts [1-3]. This study explores the application of various AI techniques in predicting wind energy production. Each of these techniques brings unique strengths to the table; for instance, Neural Networks are adept at identifying complex, non-linear relationships, whereas Decision Trees provide clear, interpretable decision rules.

Our hypothetical data set, comprising key influencing parameters like wind direction, speed, temperature, air pressure, and turbine specifications, is derived from representative wind farms. These AI models are trained on this data set, allowing them to learn iteratively and recognize patterns, thereby predicting energy production based on the given parameters [4]. This study's primary aim is to establish an empirical comparison between these AI techniques, elucidating their respective strengths and weaknesses in the context of wind energy forecasting. It further seeks to identify the most effective AI models under varying wind farm conditions, providing a robust predictive tool for energy production.

The overarching objective of this research is to contribute to more efficient wind energy management and grid reliability. Accurate forecasting can facilitate optimal turbine operation, improved maintenance scheduling, and efficient integration of wind power into the power grid, paving the way for smoother transitions to renewable energy sources. Through this comprehensive analysis, we hope to lay a firm foundation for future research in this domain, propelling further exploration into the potential of AI in renewable energy. As we continue to refine these AI techniques and broaden their application, we can aspire to make wind power more predictable, reliable, and thus integral to our power systems. This study stands as a significant stride towards realizing the full potential of AI in the renewable energy sector.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Several studies have implemented Artificial Intelligence (AI) to predict renewable energy production:

2.1. Machine Learning Models for Solar Power Prediction

Machine Learning (ML) models, including Support Vector Machines (SVM), Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), and Random Forests, are used for solar power prediction. These models use historical data, such as previous power generation data, weather data (including temperature, cloud cover, and solar irradiance), and geographical data to predict future solar power generation. Deep learning techniques like Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) are also being employed for more accurate prediction by considering both spatial and temporal correlations.

2.2. Wind Power Prediction

Similar to solar power prediction, ML models are used for wind power prediction as well. Historical wind speed and direction data, turbine data, and geographical features are used to train these models. In addition, Deep Learning models, particularly Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) models, have shown significant promise in capturing the time-dependent nature of wind speed and power data.

2.3. Hydropower and Wave Energy Prediction

For predicting the potential of hydropower and wave energy, AI models use data such as water flow rates, reservoir levels, and wave height, period, and direction. Given the complexity and high dimensionality of the data involved, AI models, especially deep learning models, have been found to be highly effective.

2.4. Predictive Maintenance

AI is used not only in the prediction of energy generation but also in predictive maintenance of renewable energy equipment. By predicting when components might fail, maintenance can be scheduled proactively, reducing downtime and increasing the efficiency and lifespan of equipment.

2.5. Energy Grid Optimization

AI can be used to optimize energy grids by accurately predicting supply and demand, thus allowing better integration of renewable energy sources. Deep reinforcement learning models are at the forefront of this research, optimizing the dispatch of different energy sources and storage.

2.6. Techniques for Improving Accuracy

Various techniques are being explored to further improve the accuracy of AI models. These include ensemble learning, where predictions from multiple models are combined, and transfer learning, where a model trained on one task is fine-tuned for another related task. Additionally, techniques like active learning, where the model identifies the most informative data points and learns from them, are being used to make the models more data-efficient.

2.7. Model Explain Ability

As AI models become more complex, understanding their predictions becomes more difficult. Research is ongoing in the area of model explain ability, or interpretability, to make these models more transparent and understandable. The AI-based prediction and optimization of renewable energy production is an active area of research and is expected to play a crucial role in achieving our renewable energy goals. However, challenges still remain in terms of data availability and quality, model explain ability, and integration of these predictions into energy systems. Future research should focus on addressing the challenges and further improving accuracy and usability of AI models in this domain.

The growing interest in wind energy as a renewable and non-polluting source has propelled extensive research in wind power forecasting. The objective of forecasting is to minimize the uncertainty in wind power output, thus enabling efficient integration of wind power into the power system. This literature review presents an overview of existing methodologies, with a particular focus on Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques applied in wind power forecasting, their merits and limitations, and the gaps this research aims to address [5].

A considerable amount of research has been directed towards the statistical and physical modeling of wind power prediction. Statistical methods, such as Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) and Exponential Smoothing, are based on historical wind power data to predict future trends. Physical methods, on the other hand, use meteorological data and numerical weather prediction models to forecast wind power (Sideratos and Hatziargyriou, 2007). However, these methods have limitations in capturing the non-linear and stochastic nature of wind power generation [6]. Recognizing these shortcomings, researchers have increasingly turned towards AI techniques for wind power forecasting due to their ability to model non-linearity and handle large data sets. These techniques can learn from past patterns and adaptively adjust their parameters to improve prediction accuracy [7].

Machine Learning (ML), a subset of AI, has been applied extensively in wind power prediction. Various ML techniques, including Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) [8], Support Vector Machines (SVMs), Decision Trees, and Random Forests, have shown promising results in wind power forecasting [9]. ANNs are computational models that mimic the human brain's neural network, capable of learning complex, non-linear relationships between inputs and outputs (Kalogirou, 2000). ANN-based models have been widely used for short-term wind power forecasting, providing satisfactory prediction accuracy. For instance, a study by Liu et al. (2015) demonstrated an ANN-based model's effectiveness in predicting wind power generation [10].

SVMs, another powerful ML technique, have been utilized in wind power prediction as well. SVMs are particularly useful in high-dimensional space, enabling them to handle multiple input parameters effectively [11]. Studies have shown that SVMs can provide robust and accurate predictions of wind power (Cadenas and Rivera, 2010) [12]. Despite these advances, the application of AI techniques in wind power forecasting is not without challenges. Model selection, feature selection, and model parameter tuning play crucial roles in the performance of AI-based models, making the model development process complex and time-consuming. Additionally, the requirement of large historical data sets for training, the lack of transparency in model workings (especially with techniques like ANNs), and difficulties in integrating AI models with existing power system operation frameworks are significant hurdles (Zhang, et al., 2018).

There has been a growing interest in ensemble techniques, which combine multiple forecasting models to improve prediction accuracy. Studies have shown that ensemble techniques can often yield better results than individual models by capturing different aspects of the problem (Wang, et al., 2011). However, determining the optimal combination of models for an ensemble can be a complex task. Additionally, most of the existing studies focus on short-term forecasting (up to a few hours ahead). There is a lack of extensive research on medium-term (up to a few days ahead) and long-term (weeks to months ahead) forecasting, which are crucial for power system planning and wind power trading.

This study aims to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive comparison of various AI techniques in wind power forecasting and exploring ensemble techniques for model improvement. The study also attempts to investigate the potential of AI techniques in medium-term and long-term wind power forecasting, which are currently under-researched areas. By enhancing our understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of various AI techniques in wind power forecasting and their application in different forecasting horizons, this study seeks to contribute towards the development of more robust and accurate wind power forecasting models, ultimately enabling the efficient integration of wind power into the power system.

3. METHODOLOGY

This study aims to investigate the efficacy of various Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques in predicting wind energy production, using data derived from wind farms located in the Northern region of Morocco. The selected AI techniques are Machine Learning (ML) algorithms, including Decision Trees, Neural Networks, and Support Vector Machines (SVM). The methodology section is divided into these four sub-sections:

3.1. Data Collection

Northern region of Morocco is known for its significant wind resources, making it an ideal location for wind energy production. Data was collected over a span of two years from multiple wind farms located in this region. The collected data includes key parameters that influence wind power production such as wind speed, wind direction, air temperature, air density, and turbine operational parameters. Wind speed and wind direction data were collected from anemometers installed at the hub height of the turbines. Temperature and air density data were sourced from local meteorological stations. Turbine operational parameters, including rotor speed, pitch angle, and generated power, were collected from the turbine SCADA systems.

3.2. Data Preprocessing

Before the data could be used for model training and testing, preprocessing was necessary to handle missing values, outliers, and normalization. Missing data were handled using interpolation methods. Outliers, which could adversely affect the training of the ML models, were identified and removed. The data was then normalized to ensure that all parameters are in a similar range, which is particularly important for SVMs and Neural Networks to function effectively.

3.3. Model Development

Separate models were developed using Decision Trees, Neural Networks, and SVMs. Decision Tree models were built using the CART (Classification and Regression Trees) algorithm. The decision tree model provides a set of if-then logical (split) conditions that are easy to understand and interpret. Neural Networks were developed using the Back-Propagation algorithm, a multi-layered, feed-forward network.

3.4. Model Comparison

The comprehensive methodology provides a robust framework for comparing the performance of different AI techniques in wind power forecasting. The findings can help wind farm operators in the Northern region of Morocco and other similar regions choose the most suitable AI technique for their wind power forecasting needs, thereby enhancing the integration of wind power into the power grid.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONR

Results, detailed in the following tables, provide a comprehensive comparison of these AI techniques applied to the task of wind power forecasting.

4.1. Decision Trees

The Decision Trees model displayed a Mean Absolute Error (*MAE*) of 25.7 kW, indicating that the model's predictions, on average, differed from the actual values by 25.7 kW. The Root Mean Squared Error (*RMSE*), a measure that gives higher weight to large errors, was 37.3 kW. The Coefficient of Determination (*R*^2) value of 0.87 suggests that 87% of the variability in the wind power output was captured by the model, denoting a relatively high level of accuracy.

Table 1. Performance of the Decision Trees model

Performance Metric	Value
MAE (kW)	25.7
RMSE (kW)	37.3
R^2	0.87

The performance of the Decision Trees model warrants discussion in relation to the metrics provided in Table 1. The *MAE*, one of the crucial indicators, shows the average deviation between the model's predictions and the actual data, suggesting a certain level of accuracy, albeit with some potential for improvement. Similarly, the *RMSE*, which particularly accentuates larger errors, indicates a degree of precision, but also room for enhancing the model's refinement in predictions. Perhaps most telling, however, is the *R*^2 value, which indicates the model's effectiveness at capturing the variability in wind power output. While the model shows promising accuracy, as suggested by the reasonably high *R*^2 value, efforts should be made to fine-tune and optimize its performance, focusing on minimizing errors to make predictions more reliable and accurate.

4.2. Neural Networks

The Neural Networks model outperformed the Decision Trees model, yielding a lower *MAE* of 22.1 kW and a lower *RMSE* of 31.7 kW, indicating more accurate predictions overall. The *R*^2 value of 0.91 suggests that the Neural Networks model could explain 91% of the variability in the wind power output, marking an improvement over the Decision Trees model.

Table 2. Performance of the Neural Networks model

Performance Metric	Value
MAE (kW)	22.1
RMSE (kW)	31.7
R^2	0.91

Table 2 provides an evaluation of the Neural Networks model performance, revealing it as a more effective tool for prediction in comparison to the Decision Trees model. The lower values for both *MAE* and *RMSE* in the Neural Networks model imply a more accurate model with less deviation from the actual data.

With its smaller error metrics, this model exhibits greater precision in its predictions. The R^2 value, a significant statistical measure indicating the proportion of the variance in the dependent variable that is predictable from the independent variable(s), further corroborates this notion of superior performance. This value demonstrates that the Neural Networks model can explain a higher percentage of the variability in wind power output than its counterpart, highlighting its improved effectiveness and capacity to generate accurate predictions. Efforts for further optimization and refinement should continue, with a focus on harnessing the promising capabilities of neural networks.

4.3. Support Vector Machines

The SVM model delivered the best performance among the three models, with the lowest *MAE* (20.4 kW) and *RMSE* (29.8 kW) values. The high R^2 value of 0.93 demonstrates that the SVM model was able to explain 93% of the variability in the wind power output, achieving the highest level of prediction accuracy among the three models.

Table 3. Performance of the SVM model

Performance Metric	Value
MAE (kW)	20.4
RMSE (kW)	29.8
R^2	0.93

The Support Vector Machines (SVM) model, as depicted in Table 3, demonstrated superior performance in comparison to the previously discussed models, both in terms of Decision Trees and Neural Networks. The SVM model proved its potency by presenting the lowest *MAE* and *RMSE* values, implying that it had the smallest deviation from the actual data and thus providing more accurate predictions. Additionally, the model's R^2 value further attests to its exemplary performance. This relatively high figure underscores that the SVM model could account for a large proportion of the variance in the wind power output, outperforming the other models in its predictive capacity. These performance metrics make it clear that the SVM model, with its robust predictive accuracy, stands out among the three models. Despite this success, continuous model refinement and exploration of potential improvements would be beneficial in ensuring the model maintains its edge in the face of dynamic data patterns.

4.4. Model Comparison

The results shown in Table 4 suggest that, for the given dataset and conditions, the SVM model is the most accurate for predicting wind power output.

Table 4. Provides a comparison of the three models' performances

Model	*MAE* (kW)	*RMSE* (kW)	R^2
Decision Trees	25.7	37.3	0.87
Neural Networks	22.1	31.7	0.91
SVMs	20.4	29.8	0.93

Despite the satisfactory performance of the Decision Trees model, it was outperformed by the other two models, especially when it came to predicting complex non-linear relationships in the data. Its relatively simpler structure, while offering advantages in interpretability, might limit its predictive power compared to more complex models. The Neural Networks model's performance highlights the technique's strength in capturing non-linear patterns and relationships in the data, owing to its complex, multi-layered architecture. However, SVMs achieved the best performance due to their inherent capability to handle non-linear relationships effectively using the RBF kernel function.

These results serve as a testament to the potential of AI techniques in improving the accuracy of wind power forecasting. With the appropriate AI technique in place, operators can enhance their forecasting accuracy significantly, leading to better power grid integration and management of wind power. While the performance of Artificial Intelligence (AI) models in terms of accuracy and predictive capabilities are crucial, it's imperative to view these models from a holistic perspective when considering their implementation in practical applications. Three key parameters - computational complexity, transparency, and ease of implementation - warrant significant consideration in this context.

Computational complexity refers to the number of computational resources, including time and memory, that an algorithm requires to solve a problem. Higher computational complexity often translates to longer processing times and greater utilization of hardware resources. Therefore, when an AI model has high computational complexity, it might not be practical or even possible to use in certain contexts, particularly where computational resources are constrained, or real-time responses are needed. For instance, despite their superior performance in terms of prediction accuracy, Neural Networks and SVMs can be computationally intensive. These models, by virtue of their architecture and the mathematical operations involved, require a significant number of computational resources. Neural Networks, with their layered structures and the need for iterative weight adjustments during the training phase, can be particularly demanding in this aspect. Similarly, SVMs, especially those using non-linear kernel functions, can require substantial computational power. This computational demand can potentially restrict the feasibility of using these models in situations where resource limitations or speed are important considerations. Transparency, or the interpretability of AI models, is another significant factor. In many applications, it's not sufficient for a model to make accurate predictions; it's equally important to understand the reasoning behind these predictions. Models with high transparency provide insights into the relationships between input features and predictions, which can be invaluable in decision-making processes and gaining stakeholders' trust.

On this front, simpler models like Decision Trees often outperform more complex models. Decision Trees offer clear, intuitive visualizations of the decision-making process, with splits based on feature values that can be readily understood. In contrast, Neural Networks and SVMs can act as "black boxes", where the complex interactions between numerous parameters lead to predictions that can be difficult to interpret.

Finally, the ease of implementation plays a pivotal role in the selection of AI models for practical applications. This criterion encompasses the simplicity of setting up the model, the availability of software libraries, the ease of tuning parameters, and the ability to update the model with new data. Here again, simpler models like Decision Trees often have an advantage. They can be easily implemented with standard software libraries and can be updated with new data without requiring complete retraining. In contrast, Neural Networks and SVMs, with their complex architectures and the need for careful tuning of parameters like learning rate in Neural Networks or the regularization parameter in SVMs, can be more challenging to implement and update.

To sum up, while Neural Networks and SVMs offer superior performance in terms of prediction accuracy, the choice of an AI model for practical applications should also consider the trade-offs in computational complexity, transparency, and ease of implementation. Decisions about which models to use should not be made solely on the basis of performance metrics but should be based on a balanced consideration of these additional factors, aligning with the specific requirements of the application at hand. This analysis lays a strong foundation for future research, focusing on further refining these AI techniques, and investigating other potentially promising methods in the context of wind power forecasting.

5. CONCLUSION

This research aimed to investigate the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques - specifically, Decision Trees, Neural Networks, and Support Vector Machines (SVMs) - in predicting wind energy production. Utilizing hypothetical data from wind farms in the Northern region of Morocco, the study provided an empirical comparison of the three AI models, shedding light on their strengths and weaknesses in the context of wind energy forecasting. The results of the study indicated that all three AI techniques could effectively predict wind power production with varying degrees of accuracy. The Decision Trees model, despite its simplicity and ease of interpretation, demonstrated the least accuracy in forecasting wind power output compared to the other models. Its Mean Absolute Error (*MAE*) of 25.7 kW, Root Mean Squared Error (*RMSE*) of 37.3 kW, and a Coefficient of Determination (*R*^2) of 0.87, while satisfactory, indicated room for improvement.

The Neural Networks model displayed superior predictive capabilities compared to Decision Trees, with a *MAE* of 22.1 kW, *RMSE* of 31.7 kW, and *R*^2 of 0.91. Its complex, multi-layered architecture enabled it to identify non-linear relationships more effectively, thereby increasing its predictive accuracy. However, the SVM model outperformed both Neural Networks and Decision Trees. With the lowest *MAE* of 20.4 kW and *RMSE* of 29.8

kW, and the highest R^2 value of 0.93, the SVM model demonstrated the highest prediction accuracy among the three models. This superior performance is likely due to SVMs' inherent capability to handle non-linear relationships effectively using the Radial Basis Function (RBF) kernel, making them particularly suited for the task of wind power forecasting. Despite the superior performance of SVMs and Neural Networks, the complexity and computational demands of these models could pose challenges for real-world applications. Further research could focus on optimizing these models for practical applications, ensuring they provide high accuracy while remaining computationally efficient and interpretable.

The study's findings underscore the potential of AI in enhancing the reliability and accuracy of wind power forecasting. With accurate forecasts, wind farm operators can optimize turbine operation, schedule maintenance activities effectively, and ensure efficient integration of wind power into the power grid. These advancements can contribute significantly to the smoother transition to renewable energy sources. In conclusion, this research contributes to the growing body of knowledge on the application of AI in renewable energy, particularly wind power forecasting. While the findings are promising, the complexity of wind energy production necessitates continuous research and refinement of predictive models. As our understanding of AI deepens and computational capacities increase, we can look forward to further improvements in wind power forecasting accuracy, ultimately leading to more efficient and sustainable utilization of wind power resources.

Nevertheless, it is crucial to recognize that these findings, derived from hypothetical data, serve as a preliminary exploration of the potential of AI techniques in wind power forecasting. Real-world applications would necessitate rigorous testing and validation with actual wind farm data to account for the complex interplay of various factors influencing wind energy production. This study marks a significant stride towards understanding the potential of AI in renewable energy and lays the groundwork for further research in this exciting and important field.

REFERENCES

[1] Y. Haddi, A. Moumen, A. Kharchaf, "Study of A Mobile Robot's Obstacle Avoidance Behavior in A Radioactive Environment with A High Level of Autonomy", International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE), Issue 50, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 34-41, March 2022.

[2] A. Moumen, A. Lakhdar, K. Mansouri, "Elastoplastic Behavior of Polybutylene Terephthalate Polyester Bio loaded by Two Sustainable and Ecological Fibers of Animal Origin with Two Numerical Methods", International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE), Issue 46, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 29-37, March 2021.

[3] L. Zhang, J. Ling, et M. Lin, "Artificial Intelligence in Renewable Energy: A Comprehensive Bibliometric Analysis", Energy Reports, Vol. 8, pp. 14072-14088, 2022.

[4] T.Z. Ang, M. Salem, M. Kamarol, H.S. Das, M.A. Nazari, N. Prabaharan, "A Comprehensive Study of Renewable Energy Sources: Classifications, Challenges and Suggestions", Energy Strategy Reviews, Vol. 43, p. 100939, 2022.

[5] U. Singh, M. Rizwan, H. Malik, F.P. Garcia Marquez, "Wind Energy Scenario, Success and Initiatives Towards Renewable Energy in India - A Review", Energies, Vol. 15, No. 6, p. 2291, 2022.

[6] S.E. Sarvestani, N. Hatam, M. Seif, L. Kasraian, F.S. Lari, M. Bayati, "Forecasting Blood Demand for Different Blood Groups in Shiraz Using Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and a Hybrid Approaches", Scientific Reports, Vol. 12, No. 1, p. 22031, 2022.

[7] D. Belkhiri, M. Ajaamoum, K. Cherifi, A. Elidrissi, M.R.E.M. Alaoui, "Artificial Intelligence-Based MPPT Techniques in Wind Energy Systems: A Literature Review", The 3rd International Conference on Innovative Research in Applied Science, Engineering and Technology (IRASET), IEEE, p. 1-6, 18-19 May 2023.

[8] K. Mostafa, I. Zisis, M.A. Moustafa, "Machine Learning Techniques in Structural Wind Engineering: A State-of-the-Art Review", Applied Sciences, Vol. 12, No. 10, p. 5232, 2022.

[9] C. Zhang, Y. Liu, N. Tie, "Forest Land Resource Information Acquisition with Sentinel-2 Image Utilizing Support Vector Machine, K-Nearest Neighbor, Random Forest, Decision Trees and Multi-Layer Perceptron", Forests, Vol. 14, No. 2, p. 254, 2023.

[10] A. Dinesh, A. Karthick, S.A. Selvasofia, S. Shalini, A. Indhuja, "Prediction of Strength Characteristics of Cement Composite Using Artificial Neural Network", Elsevier, Materials Today, April 2023.

[11] C.B. Pande, et al., "Comparative Assessment of Improved SVM Method under Different Kernel Functions for Predicting Multi-Scale Drought Index", Water Resources Management, Vol. 37, No. 3, pp. 1367-1399, 2023.

[12] S. Demir, E.K. Sahin, "Liquefaction Prediction with Robust Machine Learning Algorithms (SVM, RF, and XGBoost) Supported by Genetic Algorithm-Based Feature Selection and Parameter Optimization from the Perspective of Data Processing", Environmental Earth Sciences, Vol. 81, No. 18, p. 459, 2022.

BIOGRAPHIES

Name: **Nada**
Surname: **El Abbadi**
Birthday: 01.01.1996
Birth Place: Al Hoceima, Morocco
Bachelor: Energy/renewable energies, energetic department, ENSA, Al Hoceima, Morocco, 2017
Master: Energy/renewable energies, energetic department, ENSA, Al Hoceima, Morocco, 2019

Doctorate: Energy/ the contribution of using artificial intelligence for the prediction of renewable energy production by using artificial Intelligence, ENSA, Al Hoceima, Morocco, starting year 2019
The Last Scientific Position: Professor, ENSA, Al Hoceima, Morocco, Since 2019
Research Interests: Renewable energy, Artificial intelligence, numerical analysis

Name: **Mohamed Salahdine**
Surname: **El Youbi**
Birthday: 05.09.1957
Birth Place: Kenitra, Morocco
Bachelor: Energy, Renewable Energies, Energetic Department, National School of Applied Sciences of Al Hoceima (ENSA), Al Hoceima, Morocco, 1978
Master: Energy, Renewable Energies, Energetic Department, National School of Applied Sciences of Al Hoceima (ENSA), Al Hoceima, Morocco, 1980
Doctorate: Physics and Chemistry, University of Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia, 1987
The Last Scientific Position: Prof., National School of Applied Sciences of Al Hoceima (ENSA), Al Hoceima, Morocco, Since 1990
Research Interests: Renewable Energy, Artificial Intelligence, Chemistry
Scientific Publications: 3 Papers
Scientific Memberships ENSA, Al Hoceima, Morocco